

AD-A106 988

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO CA
SOURCE RANGING BY A SINGLE ARRAY IN A MULTIPATH OCEAN.(U)
APR 81 J A NEUBERT.

F/G 17/1

UNCLASSIFIED

NOSC/TR-681

NL

100-1
AD
D-100-10

NOSC

0

END
DATE
FILMED
10-81
DTIC

LEVEL

12

NOSC

NOSC TR 681

NOSC TR 681

DTIC
SELECTED
NOV 1 0 1981
H

Technical Report 681

SOURCE RANGING BY A SINGLE ARRAY IN A MULTIPATH OCEAN

JA Neubert

April 1981

Research Report: October 1979 — September 1980

Prepared for
Naval Electronic Systems Command

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92152

81 11 06 008

AD A106988

DTIC FILE COPY



NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CA 92152

A N A C T I V I T Y O F T H E N A V A L M A T E R I A L C O M M A N D

SL GUILLE, CAPT, USN

Commander

HL BLOOD

Technical Director

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This report was prepared under a subtask of the FY-80 NOSC Block Program in Environmental Acoustic Surveillance Technology, Program Element 62759, Subproject Task XF-59-552, sponsored by the Naval Electronic Systems Command, Code 320.

Released by
NO Booth, Head
Environmental Acoustics Division

Under authority of
JD Hightower, Head
Environmental Sciences
Department

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(14) NOSC ITR-621

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NOSC Technical Report 681 (TR 681)	AD-A106988	(1)
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
SOURCE RANGING BY A SINGLE ARRAY IN A MULTIPATH OCEAN.	Research Report. October 1979-September 1980	
6. AUTHOR(s)	7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
JA Neubert	(12) 16	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152	62759, XF-59-552	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE	
Naval Electronic Systems Command Washington, DC	Apr 1981	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
(16) FS7352	14	
15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Unclassified		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
Sonar Multipath Line array Coherent processing		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
The purpose of this report is to demonstrate a practical way of source ranging in a multipath ocean. It is shown that mean-square sound-level fluctuations for a single source in a random multipath environment are directly dependent on the source range. When this is applied to an array of sensors, the result shows that a single horizontal line array may track a moving source in a multipath ocean environment. This result could facilitate the interarray communication problem for coherent multi-array processing (CMAP) tracking of submarines.		

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

342159

SUMMARY

PROBLEM

The objective of this work was to develop a possible way of source ranging by a single array in a multipath ocean and to indicate its limitations.

RESULTS

It was shown that mean-square sound-level fluctuations for a single sensor in a random multipath environment are directly dependent on the source range. When this was applied to an array of sensors, the result showed that a single horizontal line array might track a moving source in a multipath ocean environment. This result would facilitate the inter-array communication problem for coherent multi-array processing (CMAP) tracking of submarines. In Section IV of Ref 1 this single-array source tracking method was tested with promising results for the Bearing Stake data.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that this tracking method be further tested with even more suitable data.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By _____	
Distribution _____	
Availability _____	
Dist _____	
A	

1. Reference available to qualified requestors.

CONTENTS

SUMMARY . . . page 1

NOTATION . . . 3

I. INTRODUCTION . . . 5

II. STATISTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MULTIPATH SIGNALS . . . 6

III. TATARSKI'S RELATIONS FOR SOUND-LEVEL AND PHASE
FLUCTUATIONS . . . 8

IV. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MEAN-SQUARE SOUND-LEVEL FLUCTUATION
FOR A MULTIPATH MEDIUM . . . 10

V. CONCLUSIONS . . . 13

REFERENCES . . . 14

NOTATION

A	amplitude of acoustic signal F
ϕ	phase of acoustic signal F
χ	sound-level of Eq (15)
F_{mj}	acoustic signal on path m at sensor j
Φ_0	source bearing
k_0	wave number
$\overline{\mu^2}$	the mean-square fluctuation of the refractive index
L_n	the integral scale for the correlation function of the refractive index
A_0	amplitude for a deterministic medium
ϕ_0	phase for a deterministic medium
$\overline{(\chi'_j)^2}$	mean-square sound-level fluctuation for sensor j
$\overline{(\chi')^2}$	mean-square sound-level fluctuation for the array
N_0	statistically independent noise power

I. INTRODUCTION

References 2, 3, and 4 derived and verified useful relations for the mean-square sound-level fluctuation and the mean-square phase fluctuation for single paths to a single sensor in the ocean. In this report, these relations are extended to give the mean-square sound-level fluctuation for a single sensor in a random multipath environment. This relation could permit source ranging by a single sensor, and when applied to an array of sensors, the result shows that a single horizontal line array may track a moving source in a multipath ocean environment.

-
2. V. I. Tatarski, *Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium*, McGraw-Hill, 1951.
 3. R. F. Shvachko, "Sound Fluctuations in the Upper Layer of the Ocean and Their Relation to the Random Inhomogeneities of the Medium," *Sov. Phys.-Acoust.* 9, 280-282 (1964).
 4. R. F. Shvachko, "Sound Fluctuations and Random Inhomogeneities in the Ocean," *Sov. Phys.-Acoust.* 13, 93-97 (1967).

II. STATISTICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MULTIPATH SIGNALS

The multipath signals received by a horizontal line array can be represented in a multipath ocean environment as follows. First assume that an ocean acoustic signal F received at sensor point \underline{x} and time t can be represented by

$$F \approx F(\underline{x}, t) = A e^{i\phi}, \quad (1)$$

where A is the amplitude and ϕ is the phase. Next, assume that F is composed of a linear superposition of multipath signals F_m , $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$ (M is the total number of multipath signals received) and that each multipath signal received can be represented by

$$F_m = A_m e^{i\phi_m}. \quad (2)$$

The j^{th} sensor of a horizontal line array with sensors at \underline{x}_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, J$ (J is the total number of array sensors) receives a signal represented by

$$F_j = A_j e^{i\phi_j}. \quad (3)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^M F_{mj} = \sum_{m=1}^M A_{mj} e^{i\phi_{mj}}. \quad (4)$$

Note that Eq (4) represents an irreversible linear superposition at the array in the sense that the multipath signal components F_{mj} , not to mention their individual amplitudes A_{mj} and phases ϕ_{mj} , cannot be resolved by any processing techniques on the array signal F_j . For example, take

$$|F_j|^2 = A_j^2 = \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M A_{mj} A_{nj} \cos(\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj}), \quad (5)$$

and note that not only are the component amplitudes mixed together, but also that the component phases remain in a manner determined by the algorithm used for determining A_j . Likewise,

$$\cot \phi_j = \frac{\text{Re } F_j}{\text{Im } F_j} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^M A_{mj} \cos \phi_{mj}}{\sum_{m=1}^M A_{mj} \sin \phi_{mj}}. \quad (6)$$

illustrates that the component amplitudes remain in ϕ_j in a manner that is determined by the algorithm employed for determining ϕ_j .

For later convenience, define the source bearing Φ_0 as the appropriate peak $B(\Phi_0)$ of the phase-only beamformer

$$B(\Phi) = \frac{1}{J^2} \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{\ell=1}^J \langle \cos [(\phi_j - \phi_\ell) - k_0 (d_j - d_\ell) \cos \Phi] \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where k_0 is the wave number, d_j is the distance from the first sensor to the j^{th} sensor ($d_1 = 0$) and the operator $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents a time average. The source bearing Φ_0 is defined as zero for a forward endfire arrival.

Now consider the statistical characteristics of multipath signals received by horizontal line arrays in the ocean. Assume the length of the array d_J is much less than the range R to the array, ie, $d_J \ll R$. Since the array is horizontal, the m^{th} paths to sensors j and ℓ , $j \neq \ell$, are approximately parallel in the vicinity of the array (since the m^{th} paths are of the same propagation type) so that F_{mj} and $F_{m\ell}$, $j \neq \ell$, are statistically dependent in the sense that

$$A_{mj} \text{ is statistically dependent on } A_{m\ell}, j \neq \ell \quad (8)$$

$$\phi_{mj} \text{ is statistically dependent on } \phi_{m\ell}, j \neq \ell \quad (9)$$

Define the residual phase θ_{mj} so that

$$\phi_{mj} \equiv \theta_{mj} + k_0 d_j \cos \Phi_0. \quad (10)$$

Now assume that

$$\theta_{mj} \text{ and } \theta_{nj} \text{ are statistically independent, } m \neq n \quad (11)$$

$$A_{mj} \text{ and } \theta_{n\ell} \text{ are statistically independent, } m \neq n \quad (12)$$

$$A_{mj} \text{ and } A_{nj} \text{ are statistically independent, } m \neq n. \quad (13)$$

III. TATARSKI'S RELATIONS FOR SOUND-LEVEL AND PHASE FLUCTUATIONS

Modify the development starting on page 124 of Ref 2 as follows. Represent the pressure wave p solution of the stochastic Helmholtz equation as

$$p = F_{mj} = A_{mj} e^{i\phi_{mj}} = e^{\chi_{mj} + i\phi_{mj}}, \quad (14)$$

where the sound-level is defined as

$$\chi = \ln A \quad (15)$$

and use the accurate and practical approximation for the time average of χ_{mj}

$$\overline{\chi_{mj}} = \overline{\ln A_{mj}} \simeq A_0, \quad (16)$$

where A_0 represents the amplitude for a deterministic medium (with the subscripts m and j suppressed). Define the sound-level fluctuation by

$$\chi'_{mj} \equiv \chi_{mj} - \overline{\chi_{mj}} \simeq \ln (A_{mj}/A_0). \quad (17)$$

From Ref 1 through 3,

$$\overline{(\chi'_{mj})^2} \simeq \overline{[\ln (A_{mj}/A_0)]^2} = \overline{\mu^2} L_n k_0^2 R, \sqrt{\lambda R} \gg L_0, \quad (18)$$

where $\overline{\mu^2}$ is the mean-square fluctuation of the refractive index, L_n is the integral scale for the correlation function of the refractive index fluctuation, λ is the wavelength, and L_0 is the longest fluctuation length scale of interest. Reference 3 found

$$\overline{\mu^2} L_n \sim 4.2 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m} \quad (19)$$

in the Atlantic Ocean. An accurate and practical approximation for the time average of ϕ_{mj} is

$$\Phi_{mj} \sim \phi_0 \sim k_0 d_j \cos \Phi_0, \quad (20)$$

where ϕ_0 represents the phase for a deterministic medium (with the subscripts m and j suppressed) and $k_0 d_j \cos \Phi_0$ is the phase delay from the first to the j^{th} sensor. Equation (20) is an appropriate relation for array studies. Define the phase fluctuation as

$$\phi'_{mj} \equiv \phi_{mj} - \overline{\phi_{mj}} \quad (21)$$

$$\simeq \phi_{mj} - k_0 d_j \cos \Phi_0 \equiv \theta_{mj}. \quad (22)$$

Equation (9.35) of Ref 2 gives

$$\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \simeq \overline{(\phi_{mj} - \phi_o)^2} = \overline{\mu^2} L_n k_o^2 R, \quad \sqrt{\lambda R} \gg L_o. \quad (23)$$

IV. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MEAN-SQUARE SOUND-LEVEL FLUCTUATION FOR A MULTIPATH MEDIUM

The mean-square sound fluctuation relation, $\overline{(x'_j)^2}$, for a single array sensor in a random multipath medium can be developed in a straightforward manner by using the expression

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_j &= \ell n A_j \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^M \ell n A_{mj} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^M \ell n A_{nj} + \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \ell n \cos(\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj}) . \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which comes from Eq (5) and (15). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(x'_j)^2} &= \overline{(x_j - \bar{x}_j)^2} \\ &= \overline{(\ell n A_j)^2} - (\ell n A_j)^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \left(\overline{\ell n A_{mj} \ell n A_{nj}} - \overline{\ell n A_{mj}} \overline{\ell n A_{nj}} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{m'=1}^M \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \left[\overline{\ell n A_{m'j} \ell n \cos(\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})} - \overline{\ell n A_{m'j}} \overline{\ell n \cos(\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{m'=1}^M \sum_{n'=1}^M \left(\overline{\ell n [\cos(\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})] \ell n [\cos(\phi_{m'j} - \phi_{n'j})]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \overline{\ell n [\cos(\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})]} \overline{\ell n [\cos(\phi_{m'j} - \phi_{n'j})]} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The last term in Eq (25) is approached as follows. Applying

$$\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj} = \theta_{mj} - \theta_{nj} . \quad (26)$$

from Eq (10), and

$$\ell n \cos x \approx -\frac{x^2}{2} , \quad |x| \ll 1 , \quad (27)$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\ln \cos (\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})} &= \overline{\ln \cos (\theta_{mj} - \theta_{nj})} \\ &= \begin{cases} \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} + \overline{\theta_{nj}^2} \right), & m \neq n, \\ = 0 & , m = n, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\ln [\cos (\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})] \ln [\cos (\phi_{m'j} - \phi_{n'j})]} &= \overline{\ln [\cos (\theta_{mj} - \theta_{nj})] \ln [\cos (\theta_{m'j} - \theta_{n'j})]} \\ &= \begin{cases} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \left(\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \overline{\theta_{m'j}^2} + \overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \overline{\theta_{n'j}^2} + \overline{\theta_{nj}^2} \overline{\theta_{m'j}^2} + \overline{\theta_{nj}^2} \overline{\theta_{n'j}^2} \right), & m \neq n, m' \neq n', \\ = 0 & , m = n \text{ or } m' = n', \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

via Eq (11).

Now consider the last term in Eq (25), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{m'=1}^M \sum_{n'=1}^M \left(\overline{\ln [\cos (\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})] \ln [\cos (\phi_{m'j} - \phi_{n'j})]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \overline{\ln [\cos (\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})]} \overline{\ln [\cos (\phi_{m'j} - \phi_{n'j})]} \right) \\ &\simeq \frac{5}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\overline{\theta_{mj}^4} - \left(\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{5}{2} M \left[3 \left(\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \right)^2 - \left(\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \right)^2 \right], \quad (31)$$

$$= 5 M \left(\overline{\theta_{mj}^2} \right)^2, \quad (32)$$

$$\simeq 5 M \left(\mu^2 L_n k_0^2 R \right)^2, \quad \sqrt{\lambda} R \gg L_0. \quad (33)$$

Equation (30) follows from Eq (11), (28), and (29). The central limit theorem yields Eq (31), and Eq (23) gives Eq (33).

Equations (12) and (26) give

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\ln A_{m'j} \ln [\cos (\phi_{mj} - \phi_{nj})]} &= \overline{\ln A_{m'j} \ln [\cos (\theta_{mj} - \theta_{nj})]} \\ &= \overline{\ln A_{m'j}} \overline{\ln [\cos (\theta_{mj} - \theta_{n'j})]} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

so that the second term in Eq (35) goes to zero. Applying Eq (13) yields

$$\overline{\ln A_{mj} \ln A_{nj}} = \overline{\ln A_{mj}} \overline{\ln A_{nj}} \quad (35)$$

which simplifies the first term in Eq (29).

Therefore, applying Eq (15), (17), (18), (23), (30), (34), and (35) to Eq (25) produces the mean-square sound-level fluctuation for a single array sensor in a random multipath medium:

$$\overline{(x'_j)^2} \simeq 4 \sum_{n=1}^M \left[\overline{(\ln A_{mj})^2} - \overline{(\ln A_{mj})}^2 \right] + \frac{5}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\overline{\theta_{nj}^4} - \overline{(\theta_{mj}^2)}^2 \right] \quad (36)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^M \left[4 (\overline{x'_{mj}})^2 + 5 (\overline{\theta_{mj}^2})^2 \right] \quad (37)$$

$$\simeq M \left[\overline{\mu^2} L_n k_o^2 R (4 + 5 \overline{\mu^2} L_n k_o^2 R) \right], \quad \sqrt{\lambda R} \gg L_o, \quad (38)$$

$$\simeq 4M \overline{\mu^2} L_n k_o^2 R, \quad \sqrt{\lambda R} \gg L_o, \quad (39)$$

when

$$1 \gg \overline{\mu^2} L_n k_o^2 R. \quad (40)$$

References 1, 2, and 3 give other relations that are valid when the inequality $\sqrt{\lambda R} \gg L_o$ is not satisfied. In these cases, the analysis that led to Eq (39) can be extended. From Eq (19) and (38), it is apparent that there is a large range of application for Eq (39) for low frequencies. Shvachko (Ref 3 and 4) has shown that stochastic parameters like $\overline{\mu^2} L_n$ are stable in the ocean (see also Ref 5). Therefore, when the sound propagation conditions are well understood (so that M and $\overline{\mu^2} L_n$ are known), relations like Eq (39) can be used to determine the source range R from measurements of $\overline{(x'_j)^2}$. Thus, passive ranging by a single sensor is possible in a random multipath medium. Equations (38) and (39) apply when there exists only one dominant source, since they depend on only one range.

5. J. A. Neubert, "Experimental Agreement of Stochastic Ray-Theory Relations," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 62, 326-334 (1977).

V. CONCLUSIONS

Equation (39) can be applied to a horizontal line array so that the source bearing Φ_0 is available as well as the range R . This could permit source tracking by a single horizontal line array in a multipath ocean environment. The total array gives

$$\overline{(x')^2} = \sum_{j=1}^J \overline{(x'_j)^2} + N_0 \quad (41)$$

$$\simeq 4JM\mu^2 L_n k_0^2 R + N_0, \sqrt{\lambda R} \gg L_0 \quad (42)$$

for Eq (39). In Eq (41) and (42) N_0 has been included to show that statistically independent noise N_0 only results in a range-independent offset to the basic source-ranging relation when one dominant source is embedded in a random noise field. Equation (42) shows that the mean-square sound-level fluctuation level increases by the number J of sensors when their outputs are summed. Hence a horizontal line array may determine the source range R even when the fluctuation level of a single sensor is insufficient.

Although Section IV of Ref 1 showed promising results for this array source tracking method when Bearing Stake data were used, there are some definite limitations that must be considered.

1. Although the lower limit on range should present no practical difficulties, upper range limits such as Eq (40) will. In fact, the fluctuations will always have a saturation limit as the range increases.
2. The method is restricted to a relatively simple multipath environment. Bottom loss and refractive effects can invalidate relations like Eq (42) since these latter cannot treat a variable number M of arrivals. By a different method, Ref 6 treats these and related problems.
3. The theory behind this method (Sections III and IV) can only treat the first term in Eq (41) and then only for the presence of a single dominant source (since this method cannot beamform). References 1 and 6 show that N_0 of Eq (41) plays a dominant role. Although N_0 can be measured, it cannot be predicted, so unmeasured changes in N_0 militate against the use of this source-ranging method.
4. As seen in Ref 1 and 6, this source-ranging scheme requires considerable statistical stability and, therefore, may not be feasible unless suitable processing techniques are carefully applied.

6. Reference available to qualified requestors.

REFERENCES

1. Reference available to qualified requestors.
2. V. I. Tatarski. *Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium*, McGraw-Hill, 1961.
3. R. F. Shvachko, "Sound Fluctuations in the Upper Layer of the Ocean and Their Relation to the Random Inhomogeneities of the Medium," *Sov. Phys.-Acoust.* 9, 280-282 (1964).
4. R. F. Shvachko, "Sound Fluctuations and Random Inhomogeneities in the Ocean," *Sov. Phys.-Acoust.* 13, 93-97 (1967).
5. J. A. Neubert, "Experimental Agreement of Stochastic Ray-Theory Relations," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 62, 326-334 (1977).
6. Reference available to qualified requestors.